

ATLANTA GA 30309

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

1771

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/475,830 12/30/99 DODGE II R 11710-0111 023594 **EXAMINER** IM22/0814 JOHN S. PRATT KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP PRATT, C 1100 PEACHTREE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 2800

DATE MAILED: 08/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication | 09/475,830 | DODGE II ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Christopher C. Pratt | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | th the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONT | (30) days will be considered timely. |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 | April 2004 | |
| 2011 This | his action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | r <i>Ex parte Quavle</i> , 1935 C.D. | ers, prosecution as to the merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | , | 71, 400 O.G. 213. |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | IWN from consideration | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | www.conolaciallon. | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement | |
| Application Papers | election requirement. | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept | oted or hill objects to the st | _ |
| request that any objection to the | drawing(e) he held in about | • |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) approved b) disa | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| are required in rep | NV to this Office action | pproved by the Examiner. |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | |
| riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 LLS C s 44 | (0/-) (1) |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 55 0.5.0. 9 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priorit | V dogumente bee | cation No. |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | f the certified copies not rose | الممان |
| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 H S C & 44 | 0(a) (b) |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provides in the provided provides acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic acknowledgment(s) | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Informa - 6) Other: | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| tent and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 04-01) | , | |

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/475,830 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, 19-23, and 31 are, drawn to an absorbent structure, classified in class 428, subclass 306.6.
- II. Claims 16-18, drawn to an absorbent structure comprising fibers, classified in class 442, subclass 118.
- III. Claims 24-30, drawn to a method of making an absorbent structure, classified in class 427, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful without fibers in a foam material and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/475,830

Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make other and material different products such as fire resistant products by incorporating fire resistant materials into a structure.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make other and material different products such as fire resistant products by incorporating fire resistant materials into a structure.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Theodore Green on 8/7/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2351.

Christopher C. Pratt August 11, 2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700